No.	Questioner	Question	Responder Response		
	For Agenda Item 7				
		Context: The Mayor of the Combined Authority has	The timetable for the Cambourne to Cambridge scheme		
		confirmed to the Cambridge News that his office	was set out and approved by the Greater Cambridge		
		leaked the Steer Davies Gleave report in December,	Partnership Board in a report presented on 20 th		
		and quotes were obtained from County transport	September 2017. This report also confirmed the basis for		
		officers and some Executive Board members to	public consultation on the scheme. The consultation is		
		accompany press reports; and the chairman has	now complete and is currently being analysed with the		
		written pieces extolling the potential of the schemes	outcomes being used to inform the business case being		
		to parish community newsletters. However, the	presented to the Board in July 2018. This business case		
		consultation on Cambourne to Cambridge Better Bus	will consider the full range of issues which amount to the		
		Journeys: Phase One was still running, and the Mayor	widest evaluation of the public benefit of each option and		
		confirms he intended effectively to disrupt this	provide a recommendation to the Board on the preferred		
		process by advising the public that there were more	scheme for Phase 1 of the project.		
		options; we can confirm that some residents did find			
		the new proposals very confusing.	At this time the Board will be updated re the implications		
	Roger		of Cambridge Area Metro and any potential impact on the		
1	Tomlinson	However, no route has yet been decided upon by the	options and any decision by the Board will take this into		
	101111113011	Executive Board formally, though it looks increasingly,	consideration. The decision will only be taken by the		
		as officers have repeatedly suggested, that the	Board at this time based on the information presented to		
		decision is pre-determined. Now Chris Tunstall, GCP	it.		
		Interim Transport Director, in his report to you points			
		out that the Cambridge Area Metro scheme is	The specific 'approval mechanism' for any scheme		
		predicated on an off-road guided busway, and indeed	proposal will to some extent depend on what scheme is		
		the comparison of costs for metro options	taken forward. In the case of an 'off road' scheme it is		
		assumes for the preferred bus option that the busway	likely that a Transport and Works Act Order (TWAO)		
		will be built and paid for outside the preferred bus	application is made to the Secretary of State for		
		scheme. He reports that legal discussions are under	Transport. In the case of a road based scheme it may be		
		way on how to progress this, with the potential to	that local highway powers are needed although again this		
		assist early delivery. The relevant two paragraphs are	depends on the elements of that scheme. Should a TWAO		
		3.18 and 3.19.	be sought then at the point at which this application is		
			made, the proposed transport mode will have to be set		
		• 3.18 Existing schemes, such as Cambourne	out and been subject to prior public consultation. As such		

to Cambridge and the Cambridge South East
Corridor Transport Study, create the opportunity to
transition in the future to provide key parts of the CAM
infrastructure. The SDG integrated network
proposition is predicated on these
planned interventions being part of the solution.

• 3.19. Discussions are currently being
undertaken with our legal advisors as to the most

• 3.19. Discussions are currently being undertaken with our legal advisors as to the most appropriate way of transitioning the existing schemes and subsequently procuring the necessary approvals/ orders. The implications will be dealt with in future reports in respect of the individual schemes, subject to the Combined Authority progressing the detailed feasibility work for CAM. At this time it is not envisaged that this will delay the current programmes, but could potentially assist with early delivery of parts of a CAM network.

(quoting of paragraphs to be removed in publication)

Question: What exactly are the Transport Officers trying to achieve by these legal discussions and how does this impact on the Executive Board decision-taking timetable and process for Cambourne to Cambridge Better Bus Journeys: Phase One?

engagement with the Department for Transport is underway in terms of the wider implications of CAM under the current regulatory regime for approval of guided transport systems.

For Agenda Item 9					
The widening of the A10 is be orientated approach. At the people working at the Science the new widened road will e parking be restricted at the Science North of Cambridge and how shift onto other forms of trait train as the relocated station and is situated to the north example. Maureen Mace	The dualling of the A10 was one of the headline recommendations from the study. However, it was also clear that to provide additional travel capacity, demand on the highway network created by the new developments would need to be managed using policy, planning and regulatory tools. To complement this and to encourage a shift away from the private car, public transport, pedestrian and cycling enhancements should				

	consistent with one of the eight points of the City Access project. Promoting a site-wide approach to car parking management and using ambitious travel planning to encourage a shift to non-car modes. In conjunction with active parking restraint and the relocation of Waterbeach Railway Station, to promote mode shift away from the private car the study recommends: Early implementation of the cycle measures Early progression of the segregated public transport corridor from Waterbeach to the Northern Fringe, together with Park and Ride facility provision at Waterbeach just of the A10. The precise location of the Park & Ride site will be determined through the master planning process, however to intercept vehicles from the A10 the site will need to be located as close to the highway as possible. The relocated railway station will need to be highly accessible by cycle and foot to enable maximum use by people living or working in the new development and the existing village. The exact detail of this and level of any associated parking at the station will be developed through the masterplanning process.
--	---

	For Agenda Item 10							
3	James Littlewood CEO Cambridge Past, Present & Future	One of the more encouraging findings of the Big Conversation is the apparent willingness of commuters to ditch their cars in favour of public transport, provided a high quality public transport service was made available. The report sets out the improvements in public transport that would be needed – more bus routes, reliability to timetable, cheaper fares, frequency of service, free parking at P&R etc. We know what needs to be done to encourage modal shift – but herein lies the problem for all these measures will greatly increase operating costs. So where is this additional operating revenue going to come from? If substantial long-term funding to subsidise an improved public transport system cannot be secured, then all these ideas will just remain dreams.	1	Work is currently ongoing in respect of potential demand management options. There will be a Report on the progress of this work coming to the February Joint Assembly and the March Executive Board.				
		The only realistic source for sustainable long-term funding is for drivers to pay if they chose to drive rather than use an upgraded high quality public transport system. In the light of the Big Conversation, is it not now time that the GCP Board faced up to realism and commissioned the research to devise a fair, equitable, and non-discriminatory charging system, possibly in combination with a pollution charge to improve air quality, which could then be the subject of a public consultation? And for those who still believe that charging would be unfair, divisive and unpopular, it is interesting to note that some form of road charging system scored the highest of the demand management measures proposed in the						

Appendix to Minutes - Executive Board Questions and Responses 8th February 2018

survey.	
Without a secure long-term source of revenue, the ideas for modal shift expressed by the public will just remain wishful thinking. The inertia of the GCP will then condemn Cambridge to worse and worse gridlock.	